



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

APR 07 2005

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

REED SMITH LLP.
Suite 1400
3110 Fairview Park Drive
Falls Church VA 22042

In re Application of
Hiroshi Ogasawara, et al.
Application No. 10/806,128
Filed: March 23, 2004
For: **DISK ARRAY SYSTEM**

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL


This is a decision on the petition filed February 1, 2005 and supplemented on February 25, 2005 under Manual of Patent Examination Procedure §708.02, VIII requesting accelerated examination.

The petition under Manual of Patent Examination Procedure §708.02, VIII, must:

- (1) be filed prior to receiving any examination by the examiner,
- (2) be accompanied by the required fee- \$130,
- (3) the claims should be directed to a single invention (if it is determined that the claims pertain to more than one invention, then applicant will have to make an election without traverse or forfeit accelerated examination status),
- (4) state that a pre-examination search was made, and fully discuss the search method employed, such as classes and subclasses searched, publications, Chemical abstracts, patents, etc. A search made by a foreign patent office satisfies this requirement,
- (5) be accompanied by a copy of each of the references most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if said references are not already of record,
- (6) fully discuss the references, pointing out with the particularity required by 37 C.F.R. §1.111 (b) and (c), how the claimed subject matter is patentable over the references.

A review of the file record finds that one page of the petition filed February 5, 2005 was unsigned; however, taken together with the page showing petitioner's signature filed on February 25, 2005, the petitioner meets all the above-listed requirements. Accordingly, the petition is **GRANTED**.

The application will retain its special status throughout its entire prosecution, including any appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, subject only to diligent prosecution by the applicant. The application file is being forwarded to the examiner for appropriate action in due course.



Krista Zele
Special Program Examiner
Technology Center 2600
Communications